



IMMIGRATION INSIDER

Vol. 14, No. 12A • December 1, 2018

Headlines:

DHS to Propose 'Merit-Based' Rule for H-1B Visa Program – The proposed rule, to be published on December 3, 2018, is intended to increase the number of beneficiaries with master's or higher degrees from U.S. institutions of higher education to be selected for H-1B cap numbers and to introduce "a more meritorious selection of beneficiaries."

New Developments at Southern Border: Temporary Restraining Order Bars Trump Administration From Changing Asylum Law; Trump Spars With Chief Justice; No Agreement With Mexico Yet – The temporary restraining order will remain in effect until a court hearing on December 19, 2018.

DHS, DOL Publish Joint Proposed Rule to Require Electronic Advertising Instead of Print for H-2B Recruitment – The proposed rule would require electronic advertisements to be posted on the Internet for at least 14 days, replacing the print newspaper advertisements that regulations currently require.

USCIS Clarifies L-1 One-Year Foreign Employment Requirement – USCIS has published a policy memorandum, effective November 29, 2018, clarifying the requirement that a qualifying organization employ a principal L-1 beneficiary abroad for one continuous year out of the three years before the time of petition filing

DHS Extends TPS for Sudan, Nicaragua, Haiti, El Salvador Under Preliminary Injunction – Beneficiaries under the temporary protected status designations for Sudan, Nicaragua, Haiti, and El Salvador will retain their TPS while the preliminary injunction remains in effect.

ABIL Global: Italy – The work holiday visa is a special type of visa, issued for 12 months, that allows the holder to travel to Italy and work there for up to six months. It is an opportunity for youth of each participating country to experience the language, lifestyle, culture, and job environment of the receiving country.

Also in this issue:

[New Publications and Items of Interest](#)

[Member News](#)

[Government Agency Links](#)

[Back to Top](#)

DHS to Propose 'Merit-Based' Rule for H-1B Visa Program

The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) will publish a notice of proposed rulemaking on December 3, 2018, that would require petitioners seeking to file H-1B cap-subject petitions to first electronically register with U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) during a designated registration period. USCIS said the proposed rule would also reverse the order by which the agency selects H-1B petitions under the H-1B cap and the advanced degree exemption, with the goal of increasing the number of beneficiaries with master's or higher degrees from U.S. institutions of higher education to be selected for H-1B cap numbers and introducing "a more meritorious selection of beneficiaries."

USCIS noted that the H-1B program allows companies in the United States to temporarily employ foreign workers in specialty occupations that require the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge and a bachelor's or higher degree in the specialty, or its equivalent. When USCIS receives more than enough petitions to reach the congressionally mandated H-1B cap, a computer-generated random selection process, or lottery, is used to select the petitions that are counted toward the number of petitions projected as needed to reach the cap.

The proposed rule includes a provision that would enable USCIS to temporarily suspend the registration process during any fiscal year in which USCIS "may experience technical challenges with the H-1B registration process and/or the new electronic system." The proposed temporary suspension provision would also allow USCIS to "up-front delay the implementation of the H-1B registration process past the fiscal year (FY) 2020 cap season, if necessary to complete all requisite user testing and vetting of the new H-1B registration system and process." If the rule is finalized as proposed but there is insufficient time to implement the registration system for the FY 2020 cap selection process, USCIS said it would likely suspend the proposed registration requirement for the FY 2020 cap season.

Currently, in years when the H-1B cap and the advanced degree exemption are both reached within the first five days in which H-1B cap petitions may be filed, the advanced degree exemption beneficiaries are selected before the H-1B cap beneficiaries. The proposed rule would reverse the selection order and count all registrations or petitions toward the number projected as needed to reach the H-1B cap first. Once a sufficient number of registrations or petitions have been selected for the H-1B cap, USCIS would then select registrations or petitions toward the advanced degree exemption. This proposed change "would increase the chances that beneficiaries with a master's or higher degree from a U.S. institution of higher education would be selected under the H-1B cap and that H-1B visas would be awarded to the most-skilled and highest-paid beneficiaries," USCIS said. The proposed process would result in an estimated increase of up to 16 percent (or 5,340 workers) in the number of selected H-1B beneficiaries with a master's degree or higher from a U.S. institution of higher education, the agency noted.

USCIS said it expects that shifting to electronic registration would reduce overall costs for petitioners and create a more efficient and cost-effective H-1B cap petition process for the agency. The proposed rule would "help alleviate massive administrative burdens on USCIS since the agency would no longer need to physically receive and handle hundreds of thousands of H-1B petitions and supporting documentation before conducting the cap selection process," USCIS said. "This would help reduce wait times for cap selection notifications." The proposed rule also would limit the filing of H-1B cap-subject petitions to the beneficiary named on the original selected registration, "which would protect the integrity of this registration system."

USCIS indicated that the proposed rule is being issued in response to an April 18, 2017, executive order instructing DHS to "propose new rules and issue new guidance, to supersede or revise previous rules and guidance if appropriate, to protect the interests of U.S. workers in the administration of our immigration system." The executive order specifically mentioned the H-1B

program and directed DHS and other agencies to "suggest reforms to help ensure that H-1B visas are awarded to the most-skilled or highest-paid petition beneficiaries."

Public comments must be received by January 2, 2019. The announcement is at <https://www.uscis.gov/news/news-releases/dhs-proposes-merit-based-rule-more-effective-and-efficient-h-1b-visa-program>. An advance copy of the proposed rule is at <https://s3.amazonaws.com/public-inspection.federalregister.gov/2018-26106.pdf>.

[Back to Top](#)

New Developments at Southern Border: Temporary Restraining Order Bars Trump Administration From Changing Asylum Law; Trump Spars With Chief Justice; No Agreement With Mexico Yet

U.S. District Judge Jon Tigar, of San Francisco, California, has issued a temporary restraining order blocking President Trump's presidential proclamation and a new rule preventing certain types of asylum claims along the southern border of the United States. The order will remain in effect until a court hearing on December 19, 2018.

President Trump had issued the presidential proclamation targeting potential mass migration through the southern border of the United States with Mexico in response to reports of a "caravan" of a large number of people primarily from Central America with a stated goal of entering the United States. Several thousand members of the caravan are waiting in Tijuana, Mexico, and more are expected. On November 9, 2018, the Departments of Justice and Homeland Security published a related interim final rule limiting asylum claims, and the Executive Office for Immigration Review and U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services released guidance. The American Civil Liberties Union, the Southern Poverty Law Center, the Center for Constitutional Rights, and other groups immediately sued, claiming that the proclamation and rule violated asylum applicants' rights.

The court agreed: "The rule barring asylum for immigrants who enter the country outside a port of entry irreconcilably conflicts with the [Immigration and Nationality Act] and the expressed intent of Congress. Whatever the scope of the President's authority, he may not rewrite the immigration laws to impose a condition that Congress has expressly forbidden." The court also said that the government offered nothing in support of the new rule that outweighed the need to avoid harm to potential asylum seekers, including increased risk of violence and other harms at the border. Among other things, the court also noted that "the application of the Rule will result in the denial of meritorious claims for asylum that would otherwise have been granted. That means that persons who are being persecuted on the basis of their religion, race, or other qualifying characteristic, to whom the United States would otherwise have offered refuge, will be forced to return to the site of their persecution."

After the ruling, President Trump said, "This was an Obama judge, and I'll tell you what, it's not going to happen like this anymore. Everybody that wants to sue the U.S.—almost—they file their case in the Ninth Circuit, and it means an automatic loss. No matter what you do, no matter how good your case is. And the Ninth Circuit is really something we have to take a look at, because it's not fair." In an unusual move, John Roberts, Chief Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court, admonished President Trump for referring to Judge Tigar as an "Obama judge." "We do not have Obama judges or Trump judges, Bush judges or Clinton judges. What we have is an extraordinary group of dedicated judges doing their level best to do equal right to those appearing before them. That independent judiciary is something we should all be thankful for."

On November 24, 2018, President Trump tweeted, "Migrants at the Southern Border will not be allowed into the United States until their claims are individually approved in court. We only will allow those who come into our Country legally. Other than that our very strong policy is Catch and Detain. No 'Releasing' into the U.S."

Initial reports following the court's order said that Mexican officials had agreed to hold migrants in Mexico while their asylum claims were processed in the United States. But the incoming foreign minister, Marcelo Ebrard, reportedly said the United States had not yet sent a "specific proposal" and that such an agreement had not been reached. The new president of Mexico, Andres Manuel Lopez Obrador, took office on December 1, 2018. Reportedly, he hopes to negotiate an agreement with the United States to contain Central American migration in exchange for U.S. aid to the region.

Meanwhile, many migrants moved to a new border shelter in the Tijuana, Mexico, area near the U.S. border, where thousands have congregated, while others decided to return home. Some said they would look for work in Mexico. A group of several hundred reportedly attempted to rush the border but were turned back by U.S. border agents.

The temporary restraining order is at <https://ccrjustice.org/sites/default/files/attach/2018/11/Order%20Granting%20TRO.pdf>.

[Back to Top](#)

DHS, DOL Publish Joint Proposed Rule to Require Electronic Advertising Instead of Print for H-2B Recruitment

The Departments of Homeland Security and Labor (Departments) have published a joint notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) that would "modernize" the recruitment requirements for employers seeking H-2B nonimmigrant workers to fill temporary nonagricultural jobs "to make it easier for U.S. workers to find and fill these open jobs."

The proposed rule would require electronic advertisements to be posted on the Internet for at least 14 days, replacing the print newspaper advertisements that regulations currently require. The Departments said they believe "this is a more effective and efficient way to disseminate information about job openings to U.S. workers." The Departments believe that electronic advertisements, posted on websites that U.S. workers in the area of the job opportunity would use, would best ensure that U.S. workers learn of job opportunities. The joint rule proposes phasing out the current requirements with a limited transition period. During the transition, employers would be able to choose between print and electronic advertisements. According to the Departments, this provision should provide flexibility for employers who may have already purchased print advertising or have advertising contracts in place.

The proposed rule would not mandate that an employer post its advertisement on a specific website but rather would allow an employer to place an advertisement on any of a variety of websites that are widely viewed and appropriate for use by workers who are likely to apply for the job opportunity in the area of intended employment, including websites that specialize in advertising job opportunities for the specific industry or occupation, and websites that specifically serve the local area, such as localized online job listing services and digital classified sections of local newspapers. The proposed rule also contemplates the use of websites that are not specifically directed at workers in the area of intended employment or the particular occupation, so long as the website is appropriate for the occupation and adequately serves the area of intended employment.

To assure that the job opportunity described in the advertisement is readily available to U.S. workers, the proposed rule would require that the advertisement be publicly accessible at no cost to an applicant. To meet this requirement, the website on which the advertisement is placed cannot require U.S. workers to pay fees to establish personal accounts or make payments of any kind to view the advertisement. The website must also be functionally compatible with the latest commercial Web browser platforms and easily viewable on mobile smartphones and similar portable devices.

The proposed rule would require an employer to print and retain screen shots of the Web pages on which its advertisement appears and screen shots of the Web pages establishing the path used to access the advertisement. Although the proposed rule does not require employers to submit this documentation with their recruitment reports, an employer must nevertheless retain this documentation and provide it to the Department of Labor in the event of an audit or other review, the NPRM states.

A transition provision would permit an employer submitting an Application for Temporary Employment Certification with a date of need before October 1, 2019, to place either (a) an electronic advertisement in accordance with the requirements in the proposed rule, or (b) two newspaper advertisements in accordance with existing requirements. Because the Departments are proposing to have this rule take effect immediately upon publication of the final rule, the Departments are including this transition period "to provide flexibility to employers that seek additional time to understand and comply with the proposed regulatory revisions, while simultaneously permitting employers that wish to place electronic advertisements immediately upon the effective date of the final rule the ability to do so," the NPRM states. The transition provision is intended "to better ensure, among other things, that employers who have purchased newspaper advertising space in advance do not lose the benefit of such purchase."

The Departments invite comments on whether they should establish qualifying criteria (e.g., the minimum number of unique visitors per month), or define the types of websites on which an employer may place an electronic advertisement under the proposed rule, and whether the rule should exclude websites maintained by the employer and/or the employer-client of a job contractor seeking to employ H-2B workers. The Departments also solicit comments on whether, instead of eliminating print newspaper advertisements, they should offer electronic advertisements as an alternative means of satisfying the existing print advertising requirement. The Departments are not proposing this option, but they invite comments on whether there are employers that lack the technology or Internet access necessary to place the electronic advertisements described in the proposed rule, and if so, how the Departments should determine whether such employers have met their obligation to recruit U.S. workers. For example, the Departments noted that they could leave current recruitment requirements in place as an option for such employers. The Departments solicit comments on whether there are alternative methods that would more broadly and effectively disseminate information about available job opportunities to U.S. workers.

Comments may be submitted, using one of the methods provided in the NPRM, by December 10, 2018. The NPRM is at <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2018-11-09/pdf/2018-24498.pdf>.

[Back to Top](#)

USCIS Clarifies L-1 One-Year Foreign Employment Requirement

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) has published a policy memorandum, effective November 29, 2018, clarifying the requirement that a qualifying organization employ a principal L-1 beneficiary abroad for one continuous year out of the three years before the time of petition filing ("one-year foreign employment requirement"). USCIS said this clarification is intended to ensure consistent adjudication of L-1 petitions by providing a standard basis for calculating time for the one-year foreign employment requirement.

USCIS explained that the L-1 nonimmigrant classification allows a U.S. employer to transfer an executive or manager (L-1A) or an employee with specialized knowledge (L-1B) from one of its qualifying foreign offices to one of its offices in the United States. This classification also allows a foreign company that does not yet have a U.S. office to send an executive or manager, or specialized knowledge employee, to the United States to establish one.

Specifically, the policy memo explains that:

- The L-1 beneficiary must be physically outside the United States during the required one continuous year of employment, except for brief trips to the United States for business or pleasure; and
- The petitioner and the beneficiary must meet all requirements, including the one year of foreign employment, when the petitioner files the initial L-1 petition.

Except as noted in the memo, the one year of foreign employment must occur within the three-year period preceding the date the L-1 petition is filed. USCIS will calculate the three-year period during which the beneficiary must meet the one-year foreign employment requirement. The memo also clarifies what time will be taken into consideration in determining when the three-year period begins.

In a related announcement, USCIS said, "In support of the Buy American and Hire American Executive Order, USCIS is reviewing all employment-based immigration programs to eliminate fraud and ensure consistent adjudications. USCIS has not previously provided specific policy guidance with respect to the conditions by which the three-year clock may be stopped for purposes of determining whether the one-year foreign employment requirement for L-1 beneficiaries has been met. This [memo] improves the process for adjudicating the L-1 nonimmigrant benefit by clarifying the calculation guidelines to ensure they are applied consistently to all L-1 petitions."

The announcement is at <https://www.uscis.gov/news/alerts/uscis-clarifies-l-1-one-year-foreign-employment-requirement>. The policy memo is at <https://www.uscis.gov/sites/default/files/USCIS/Laws/Memoranda/2018/2018-11-15-PM-602-0167-L-1-foreign-employment-requirement.pdf>.

[Back to Top](#)

DHS Extends TPS for Sudan, Nicaragua, Haiti, El Salvador Under Preliminary Injunction

The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) has announced actions to comply with the preliminary injunction order of the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California in *Ramos v. Nielsen*. Beneficiaries under the temporary protected status (TPS) designations for Sudan, Nicaragua, Haiti, and El Salvador will retain their TPS while the preliminary injunction remains in effect, provided that an individual's TPS status is not withdrawn under INA § 244(c)(3) or 8 CFR § 244.14 because of ineligibility.

DHS is automatically extending through April 2, 2019, the validity of TPS-related employment authorization documents (EADs), Forms I-797, Notice of Action (Approval Notice), and Forms I-94 (Arrival/Departure Record) (collectively "TPS-related documentation"), as specified in the notice, for beneficiaries under the TPS designations for Sudan and Nicaragua, provided that the affected TPS beneficiaries remain otherwise individually eligible for TPS. The notice also explains DHS's plans to issue a subsequent notice that will describe the steps DHS will take after April 2, 2019, to continue its compliance with the preliminary injunction.

The TPS designations of Sudan, Nicaragua, Haiti, and El Salvador will remain in effect as long as the preliminary injunction remains in effect. TPS for those countries will not be terminated unless and until any superseding, final, non-appealable judicial order permits the implementation of such terminations, DHS said.

Further, DHS is automatically extending the validity of TPS-related documentation for those beneficiaries under the TPS designations for Sudan and Nicaragua, as specified in the notice. Those documents will remain in effect for six months from the issuance of the preliminary injunction (which occurred on October 3, 2018), through April 2, 2019, provided the individual's

TPS is not withdrawn under INA § 244(c)(3) or 8 CFR § 244.14 because of ineligibility, DHS said. In the event the preliminary injunction is reversed and that reversal becomes final, DHS will allow for an "orderly transition period."

The Federal Register notice is at <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2018-10-31/pdf/2018-23892.pdf>. Information on the status of the preliminary injunction is available at <https://www.uscis.gov/humanitarian/temporary-protected-status>.

[Back to Top](#)

ABIL Global: Italy

The work holiday visa is a special type of visa, issued for 12 months, that allows the holder to travel to Italy and work there for up to six months. It is an opportunity for youth of each participating country to experience the language, lifestyle, culture, and job environment of the receiving country.

Italy has bilateral agreements on work holiday visas with New Zealand, Australia, Canada, and South Korea. Each bilateral agreement sets the conditions for participation in the program and also the maximum number of visas that can be issued in a year.

The procedure consists of two steps:

- File the visa application at the Italian consulate having jurisdiction over the place of residency abroad
- Once in Italy, file the residence permit application within 8 days of arrival

If and when the applicant finds an employer willing to hire him or her, a work permit is not necessary. The work holiday residence permit allows the holder to work up to a total of 6 months, and up to 3 months with the same employer.

Below are details of the existing agreements with Italy:

New Zealand agreement

Who can apply: New Zealand citizens between the age of 18 and 30

Duration of allowed stay: 12 months, not renewable

Type of work activity allowed: No restrictions but no longer than 3 months with the same employer and 6 months in total

Number of visas per year: Up to 1,000

Australia agreement

Who can apply: Australian citizens between the age of 18 and 30

Duration of allowed stay: 12 months, not renewable

Type of work activity allowed: No restrictions but no longer than 3 months with the same employer and 6 months in total

Number of visas per year: Up to 1,500 for Australian citizens

Canada agreement

Who can apply: Canadian citizens between the age of 18 and 35

Duration of allowed stay: 12 months, not renewable

Type of work activity allowed: No restrictions but no longer than 3 months with the same employer and 6 months in total

Number of visas per year: Up to 1,000

South Korea agreement

Who can apply: South Korean citizens between the age of 18 and 30

Duration of allowed stay: 12 months, not renewable

Type of work activity allowed: No restrictions but no longer than 6 months (up to 6 months with the same employer allowed)

Number of visas per year: Up to 500

[Back to Top](#)

New Publications and Items of Interest

E-Verify webinars. Free E-Verify webinars are available by registering at <https://www.e-verify.gov/about-e-verify/e-verify-webinars>. Upcoming topics include an E-Verify overview, E-Verify for existing users, Form I-9, myE-Verify, and information for federal contractors.

Webinars for employers and employees. The Immigrant & Employee Rights Section (IER) of the U.S. Department of Justice's Civil Rights Division is presenting webinars for employers and employees. Upcoming topics include IER Training for Worker Advocates; IER Training for Employers/Human Resources Representatives; Joint IER/USCIS Employee Rights Webinar; IER, ICE, USCIS Tri-Agency Employer Responsibilities Webinar; and Joint IER/USCIS Employee Rights Webinar. For more information, see <https://www.justice.gov/crt/webinars#ierwebinars>.

Alliance of Business Immigration Lawyers:

- The latest immigration news is at <http://www.abil.com/news.cfm>.
- The latest published media releases include:
 - ABIL Says Proposed Change to Public Charge Rule Would Exclude Immigrants from Government Programs:
https://www.prweb.com/releases/abil_says_proposed_change_to_public_charge_rule_would_exclude_immigrants_from_government_programs/prweb15737932.htm
 - New Data Show Increase in H-1B Denials and RFEs:
https://www.prweb.com/releases/new_data_show_increase_in_h_1b_denials_and_rfes/prweb15673632.htm
 - ABIL Urges Administration to Change "Buy American and Hire American" Executive Order: <http://www.prweb.com/releases/2018/05/prweb15485457.htm>
 - ABIL Member Kuck Baxter Immigration Commercial Nominated for an Emmy:
<http://www.prweb.com/releases/2018/05/prweb15485460.htm>
 - ABIL Members Note Immigration Threats for Employers in 2018:
<http://www.prweb.com/releases/2018/03/prweb15261255.htm>
- ABIL is available on Twitter: @ABILImmigration.
- Recent ABIL member blogs are at <http://www.abilblog.com/>.

Organizations seeking non-lawyer and lawyer volunteers. Cornell Law School has compiled a list of organizations seeking non-lawyer and lawyer volunteers to help migrants in U.S. detention and deportation proceedings. The list, which is updated on an ongoing basis, is at <http://www.lawschool.cornell.edu/MigrationandHumanRightsProgram/Organizations-seeking-non-lawyer-and-lawyer-volunteers.cfm>.

Nation of immigrants. Podcasts on U.S. immigration history and what it means to be an immigrant in America:

- Statutes of Liberty: <http://bit.ly/2thMM9O> (new episodes: A Prescription for Success: EB-1 for Physicians, <https://bit.ly/2J7oSjV>; The Best, Brightest, and Backlogged, discusses the backlog, who it affects, how to read the Visa Bulletin, and strategies for EB-1 visas, <https://bit.ly/2E6vamz>)
- Code Switch Podcast: What Does It Mean To Be A 'Nation of Immigrants'?:
<http://n.pr/2FeWWg4>
- Hidden Brain: The Huddled Masses and the Myth of America: <http://n.pr/2Fbo9kC>
- American Pendulum I: <http://bit.ly/2FbYKY3>

E-Verify free webinar listings are at <https://www.uscis.gov/e-verify/e-verify-webinars/take-free-webinar>.

Advisories and tips:

- Community Advisory: Social Media, Criminalization, and Immigration has been published by the National Lawyers Guild's National Immigration Project. This advisory summarizes ways in which immigration agents may use social media against those in removal proceedings or involved in criminal cases. The advisory is at https://www.nationalimmigrationproject.org/PDFs/community/2017_03Apr_comm-adv-social.pdf.
- How to safeguard your data from searches at the border is the topic of several recent articles and blogs. See, for example, <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/03/21/technology/personaltech/crossing-the-border-heres-how-to-safeguard-your-data-from-searches.html> and <https://www.aclu.org/blog/free-future/can-border-agents-search-your-electronic-devices-its-complicated>.
- Listings and links to cases challenging executive orders, and related available pleadings, are available at <https://lawfareblog.com/litigation-documents-resources-related-trump-executive-order-immigration>.

[Back to Top](#)

ABIL Member/Firm News

The following **Alliance of Business Immigration Lawyers** member firms were listed in U.S. News & World Report's Best Law Firms:

Chin & Curtis, LLP—National Tier 2; Boston Tier 1

Clark Lau LLC—National Tier 2; Boston Tier 1

Cyrus D. Mehta & Partners PLLC—National Tier 1; New York City Tier 1

Foster LLP—National Tier 2; Austin Tier 1; Houston Tier 1

Fredrikson & Byron P.A.—Des Moines Tier 2; Minneapolis Tier 3

Garfinkel Immigration Law Firm—Charlotte Tier 1

Goel & Anderson, LLC—Washington, DC Tier 3

Joseph Law Firm, P.C.—Colorado Tier 1

Klasko Immigration Law Partners, LLP—National Tier 2; Philadelphia Tier 1

Kuck Baxter Immigration LLC—Atlanta Tier 1

Maggio + Kattar—National Tier 1; San Diego Tier 1; Washington, DC Tier 1

Mark Ivener, A Law Corporation—National Tier 3; Los Angeles Tier 2

Pearl Law Group—San Francisco Tier 2

Seyfarth Shaw LLP—National Tier 1; Atlanta Tier 1; Boston Tier 1; Houston Tier 1; Los Angeles Tier 2; Washington, DC Tier 3

Siskind Susser PC—Memphis Tier 1; Nashville Tier 2

Wolfsdorf Rosenthal LLP—National Tier 1; Los Angeles Tier 1; New York City Tier 2

The national rankings are at <https://bestlawfirms.usnews.com/immigration-law>. The regional rankings are under each firm's profile.

Vic Goel (Goel & Anderson, LLC, <http://www.abil.com/lawyers/lawyers-goel.cfm?c=US>) and **Lawrence Lorber (Seyfarth Shaw LLP)** were quoted by Forbes in "Trump Labor Department to Use New H-1B Form Against Tech Companies." Mr. Goel said, "This revision to the labor condition application is nothing more than an attempt to discourage contracting out for services by U.S. companies whose contractors employ H-1B professionals." The article notes that the Department of Labor used the term "secondary employer" on the form to describe the worksite where an H-1B visa holder would perform services. "Calling someone a secondary employer is nonsense. It raises a lot of issues in the joint-employer context, which is a major policy issue," Mr. Lorber noted. The article is at

<https://www.forbes.com/sites/stuartanderson/2018/11/26/trump-labor-dept-to-use-new-h-1b-form-against-tech-companies/#7684ec463ef3>.

Klasko Immigration Law Partners, LLP is expanding its business litigation practice with its experienced attorney team. **H. Ronald Klasko** (<http://www.abil.com/lawyers/lawyers-klasko.cfm>), managing partner, is also leading the new Administrative Litigation Task Force formed within the American Immigration Lawyers Association. Mr. Klasko's goals are to initiate impact litigation on key immigration issues and policies and to educate other immigration attorneys on how to litigate visa denials where they believe the law was misinterpreted. He is currently co-counsel for a lawsuit challenging the new USCIS policy on unlawful presence for foreign students and exchange visitors. **Daniel Lundy** is involved in significant litigation work, both in the EB-5 arena and with regard to employment-based immigration applications and petitions. He has frequently been involved in litigation on behalf of EB-5 investors, regional centers, and projects that are facing USCIS actions or delays, regional center terminations, or SEC litigation. For more information, see <https://www.klaskolaw.com/practice-areas/business-litigation/>.

Klasko Immigration Law Partners, LLP has issued a client alert and blog by **William A. Stock** and **Steven R. Miller**. "Lessons for Universities and Companies from Wright State University's Nonprosecution Agreement" is at <https://bit.ly/2KKqTFj>.

Cyrus Mehta (bio: <http://www.abil.com/lawyers/lawyers-mehta.cfm>) has authored a new blog entry. "New Mutant H-1B Gene: Undifferentiated Engineering Degrees" is at <http://blog.cyrusmehta.com/2018/11/new-mutant-h-1b-gene-undifferentiated-engineering-degrees.html>.

Mr. Mehta and **Sophia Genovese**, of Mr. Mehta's office, co-authored a new blog entry. "Trump Is Not King. He Cannot Change the U.S. Asylum System Through Executive Orders" is at <http://blog.cyrusmehta.com/2018/11/trump-is-not-king-he-cannot-change-the-us-asylum-system-through-executive-orders.html>.

Stephen Yale-Loehr (bio: <http://www.abil.com/lawyers/lawyers-loehr.cfm?c=US>) was quoted by *Deutsche Welle* in "Can Donald Trump Use Force Against the 'Migrant Caravan'?" Mr. Yale-Loehr commented on President Trump's new proclamation mandating that individuals claiming asylum can do so only at official ports of entry. Those entering the country without authorization are barred from seeking asylum, but can apply for two alternative forms of relief known as "withholding of removal" and relief under the United Nations Convention Against Torture, he noted. "The Trump administration claims that allowing people to apply for those other forms of relief satisfies our international obligations not to return people to countries where they might face persecution", said Mr. Yale-Loehr, adding that to decide whether President Trump's argumentation is correct and the order legal, the courts will have to weigh competing considerations. "Courts have traditionally ruled that presidents have large discretion on immigration issues, since immigration touches on national sovereignty. However, the United States has signed the United Nations protocol on refugees, which bars countries from returning people to countries where they might be persecuted. And the U.S. asylum statute explicitly allows people to apply for asylum no matter how they enter the United States. The president cannot repeal the asylum statute; only Congress can do that." The article is at <https://www.dw.com/en/can-donald-trump-use-force-against-the-migrant-caravan/a-46300123>.

Mr. Yale-Loehr was quoted by the *New York Times* in "Federal Judge Blocks Trump's Proclamation Targeting Some Asylum-Seekers." Mr. Yale-Loehr said the court's ruling shows that Presidential discretion has limits. "The ruling is a significant blow to the administration's efforts to unilaterally change asylum law. Ultimately this may have to go to the Supreme Court for a final ruling," he said. The article is at <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/11/20/us/judge-denies-trump-asylum-policy.html>.

Mr. Yale-Loehr was quoted by the *Voice of America* in "EB-5 Program: American Citizenship Door for International Students." "I can say there are hundreds of EB-5 students a year. No one knows for sure," he said. "I compare [EB-5] to a Rubik's cube. The migration component must be in harmony with the investment component, harmonized with the employment

component... Sometimes, if the students study business, they are very sophisticated." The article (in Vietnamese) is at <https://www.voatiengviet.com/a/chương-trình-eb-5-cánh-cửa-nhập-tịch-mỹ-cho-sinh-viên-quốc-tế/4665862.html>.

Mr. Yale-Loehr was quoted by *U.S. News & World Report* and other publications (via *Reuters*) in "Trump Demand That Asylum Seekers Wait in Mexico May Turn on Legal Clause." The Trump administration will likely argue that the U.S. Constitution's due process clause only applies in U.S. territory, Mr. Yale-Loehr noted. The article is at <https://www.usnews.com/news/top-news/articles/2018-11-30/trump-demand-that-asylum-seekers-wait-in-mexico-may-turn-on-legal-clause>.

Mr. Yale-Loehr was quoted by National Real Estate Investor in "As the EB-5 Program Comes Up for Another Extension, Recent Litigation Puts the Spotlight on the Program's Flaws." He explained that there are two types of litigation in the EB-5 world. One scenario is fraud from the outset: the project developer takes the money and runs. The other scenario is where the developer is trying to do everything correctly, but because of a change in the project, the developer is unable to finish it on time or needs to re-deploy the foreign investors' funds in another way. With a years-long process to get permanent residence coupled with the need to keep funds at risk and create permanent jobs, there are more windows for problems to crop up. "That's not necessarily fraud," he said. "I predict that Congress will extend EB-5 again without any changes ... maybe in the spring everybody can agree on a reform package that Congress can enact," Mr. Yale-Loehr said. The article is at <https://www.nreionline.com/finance-investment/eb-5-program-comes-another-extension-recent-litigation-puts-spotlight-program-s>.

[Back to Top](#)

Government Agency Links

Follow these links to access current processing times of the USCIS Service Centers and the Department of Labor, and the Department of State's latest Visa Bulletin with the most recent cut-off dates for visa numbers:

USCIS Service Center processing times online:
<https://egov.uscis.gov/cris/processTimesDisplay.do>

Department of State Visa Bulletin: <https://travel.state.gov/content/visas/en/law-and-policy/bulletin.html>

Visa application wait times for any post: <https://travel.state.gov/content/visas/en/general/wait-times.html/>

[Back to Top](#)

About ABIL

The Alliance of Business Immigration Lawyers (ABIL) offers a single point of contact for customer needs, news alerts, staff training, and other programs that benefit clients through the collaboration of more than 400 member lawyers and their 1,000 staff. Corporate counsel, human resource professionals, in-house immigration managers, and other corporate decision-makers turn to ABIL lawyers for outstanding legal skills and services. ABIL's work also includes advocating for enlightened immigration reform, providing speakers and media sources, presenting conferences, publishing books and articles on cutting-edge immigration topics, and sharing best practices, all with the ultimate goal of offering value-added services to business immigration clients.

The Alliance of Business Immigration Lawyers' website is at <http://www.abil.com/>. ABIL is also on Twitter: @ABILImmigration.

Disclaimer/Reminder

This email does not constitute direct legal advice and is for informational purposes only. The information provided should never replace informed counsel when specific immigration-related guidance is needed.

Copyright © 2018 Alliance of Business Immigration Lawyers. All rights reserved.

[Back to Top](#)